

### REMARKS

The Notice of Incomplete Reply seems to state that Fig. 1 as described in the specification was not included with the drawings as filed. Applicant submits that the reference to Fig. 1 was used in the specification to refer collectively to Figures 1A, 1B and 1C. Figure 1 itself was not submitted since, aside from the collective reference of Figures 1A, 1B and 1C, Figure 1 does not exist. Therefore, Applicant now seeks to prevent any further confusion by deleting any and all references in this application to Figure 1.

Applicant hereby submits a substitute specification wherein the following amendments were made to the specification as originally filed:

At page 9, lines 8 and 9, delete "Fig. 1... auction;"

At page 10, line 8, delete the first reference to -Fig. 1- and insert "Figures 1A, 1B and 1C" therefor.


At page 10, line 8, delete the second reference to -Fig. 1 illustrates- and insert "Figures 1A, 1B and 1C illustrate" therefor.

Other than these changes, the text of the substitute specification is identical to that of the original filing.

Conclusion:

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all pending independent claims are in condition for allowance. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that all dependent claims are allowable because such claims depend from an allowable base claim. Favorable consideration of the present application is therefore requested, and a notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel H. Golub  
Reg. No. 33,701  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1701 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 963-5055

Dated: August 2, 2001

09783747 "051701